

REMARKS

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the Official Action dated June 14, 2005.

A photocopy of the drawing for FIG. 1 is submitted for the Examiner's approval.

The Examiner has objected to the abstract of the disclosure because of informalities. The Examiner has objected to the disclosure because of informalities. The disclosure and the abstract of the disclosure have been corrected to overcome the objections.

The Examiner has objected to the claims because of informalities. Further, the Examiner has rejected claims 1-2 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements. Moreover, the Examiner has rejected claims 1-2 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Furthermore, the Examiner has stated that claims 1-2 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action. Claims 1-2 have been canceled and replaced with new claim 3 in order to overcome the objection and rejections.

The applicant has reviewed the prior art as cited by the Examiner but not used in the rejection and believes that the new claim clearly and distinctly patentably defines over such prior art.

It is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition of allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Signature

Leong C. Lei

Registration No. 50402

September 5, 2005